UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,851	07/24/2003	Toshiyuki Hosokawa	107348-00358	8943	
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 09/26/200 LLP	7	EXAM	IINER	
	1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400			NGUYEN, DONGHAI D	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729		
		•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/26/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent\_Mail@arentfox.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/625,851	HOSOKAWA, TOSHIYUKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Donghai D. Nguyen	3729
- The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Ti     Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	•
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Exam</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the </li> </ul>	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a light specified copies.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

# DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 01, 2007 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 4,852,248 to Anderson.

Anderson discloses a process for mounting a plurality of parts to a cable comprising: mounting the plurality of parts (604/608, See Col. 6, lines 31-47) to the cable (602), the cable being a single unbroken member (see Fig. 2), in mounted positions and mounted attitudes (see Fig. 2), the mounted positions being intermediate between opposite ends of the cable in a state where the cable is used (see, Col. 6, lines 3-5), the mounted positions and the mounted attitudes being determined respectively for the parts, and marking the cable with information comprises a name, the mounted position and the mounted attitude of each of the parts (See Col. 7, lines 15-

Art Unit: 3729

18) for the mounting of each of the parts before the mounting of the parts (See Col. 6, lines 26-30).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 4,347,651 to Inoue et al in view of Anderson.

Regarding claim 1, Inoue et al disclose a process for mounting a plurality of parts to a cable comprising: mounting the plurality of parts (18E and 18F) to the cable (20B) in mounted positions and mounted attitudes (see Fig. 6), the mounted positions and the mounted attitudes being determined respectively for the parts, and marking the cable with information (123-XY-1, etc.) for the mounting of each of the parts before the mounting of the parts (See Col. 2, lines 33-54); however, Inoue et al do not disclose the mounted positions being intermediate between opposite ends of the cable in a state where the cable is used. Anderson teaches mounting the plurality of parts (604/608, See Col. 6, lines 31-47) to the cable in mounted positions being intermediate between opposite ends of the cable in a state where the cable is used (see Fig. 1) for generating any variety of harness configurations (see, Col. 6, lines 3-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Inoue et al by utilized the mounting part to the cable in the intermediate

position between opposite ends of the cable as taught by Anderson for generating any variety of harness/connecting configurations.

Regarding claim 2, Inoue et al disclose the information comprises a name, the mounted position and the mounted attitude of each of the parts (see Col. 1, lines 16-19).

Regarding claim 3, Inoue et al disclose marking is carried out at a step of cutting the cable into a predetermined length (see Col. 2, lines 33-41)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Anderson or Inoue/Anderson as applied above further in view of US Patent 6,267,385 to Okamoto et al.

Anderson or Inoue/Anderson do not disclose sliding at least one of the plurality of parts onto the cable and fixing to the cable at mounted positions by an adhesive. Okamoto et al teach the step of sliding mounting parts (10) onto the cable 14 and fixing the mounting part (10) to the cable by the adhesive (12) for easily fixing the mounting parts to the cable (see Col. 3, lines 9-12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of either Anderson or Inoue/Anderson by utilized

Art Unit: 3729

the mounting method as taught by Okamoto above for easily fixing the mounting parts to the cable.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghai D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)-272-4566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (571)-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,851 Page 6

Art Unit: 3729

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN

September 17, 2007

Patent Examiner: Donghai D. Nguyen